

## **DARWIN'S DEMONIC DISCIPLES :HAECKEL-HOW WE GOT HITLER**

**Romans 1:28** And even as they did not like to retain God in [their] knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient;

**Romans 1:29** Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers,

**Romans 1:30** Backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents,

**Romans 1:31** Without understanding, covenantbreakers, without natural affection, implacable, unmerciful:

**Romans 1:32** Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them.

Yes I believe this Old Blessed Book nail it quite nicely here as we look at one of Darwins Demonic Disciples. If there could be a description in the word of God for a man like Haeckel it would be inventors of evil things.

Haters of God goes right along with it and full of deceit. This man did a lot to shape and prepare a nation for Hitler. And he did it all by lying . His father was the devil and the Father of Lies.

## **ERNST HAECKEL THE MAN AND HIS DEVIISH DECEITFUL DOCTRINE**

- 1. Ernst Haeckel (1834-1919) promoted Darwinism enthusiastically in Germany and elsewhere in Europe. He met Darwin three times and nearly worshipped the man.**
- 2. Haeckel has been called “one of the most influential and controversial thinkers of his time” (Mario Gregorio, *From Here to Eternity*, p. 26).**
- 3. His scientific writings sold in the hundreds of thousands and were translated into 25 languages. Richard Weikart says they were “probably the most popular non-fiction books in Germany” in that day (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 24).**
- 4. In the March 2000 issue of *Natural History* Stephen Jay Gould stated,**
- 5. “Haeckel’s forceful, eminently comprehensible, if not always accurate, books appeared in all major languages and surely exerted more influence than the works of any other scientist, including Darwin ... in**

**convincing people throughout the world about the validity of evolution.”**

- 6. In 1921, physiologist Max Verworn said,**
- 7. “One can state without exaggeration that no scientist has exercised a greater influence on the development of our contemporary worldview than Haeckel” (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 11).**
- 8. Yes there is a trail from Darwin to Hitler that these wicked devils can't shake although they do their dead level best too it is undeniable**
- 9. We will talk a little about Hitler tonight but mostly on Sunday when we deal with Hitler—DARWIN'S PERFECT SOLDIER**
- 10. He described the effect that Haeckel's book *Natural History of Creation* had on him at age 16:**
- 11. “It seemed that all problems of heaven and earth were solved simply and convincingly; there was an answer to every question which troubled the young mind. Evolution was the key to everything and could replace all the beliefs and creeds which one was discarding. There were no creation, no God, no heaven and hell, only evolution and the wonderful law of recapitulation which demonstrated the fact of evolution to the most stubborn believer in creation. I was so fascinated and shaken up that I had to communicate to others my new knowledge, and this was done in the schoolyard, on school picnics, and among friends. I remember vividly a scene during a school picnic when I stood surrounded by a group of schoolboys to whom I expounded the gospel of Darwinism as Haeckel saw it” (Goldschmidt, *Portraits from Memory*, p. 34).**
- 12. You see inventors of evil things. Yes they invented a way that others could deny God with phony evidence that doesn't exist and doctored photos**
- 13. You don't really have to give a man much of an excuse to deny God or a platform to do it from. But there is a special spot in hell for this guy who taught millions to deny the God of the Bible and follow man**
- 14. Born into a liberal Christian home, Haeckel became an evolutionist while studying medicine, but it was theological modernism that paved the way. His parents “were deeply religious, yet with a liberal inclination” (Gregorio, p. 26). In particular, they were influenced by Friedrich Schleiermacher, who died the year that Ernst was born. Schleiermacher paved the way for Darwinian evolution by replacing the authority of an infallible Bible with that of human intuition and feeling. He reconciled humanistic philosophy with the Bible by downgrading the Bible to a separate, mythical level of reality. “In his separation of the intellectual content of Christianity (the objective biblical revelation) from Christian ‘feeling’, Schleiermacher seemed to provide a means whereby the essence of**

- Christianity could remain unaffected, no matter how much of the Bible was rejected” (Ian Murray, *Evangelicalism Divided*, p. 11).
15. Do you understand that this denial of the perfect infallible words of God has led so many astray into evolution
  16. Either you are all in and believe everything in the book or you don't
  17. But a double minded man is unstable in all his ways
  18. That is why I don't let people get away with challenging one bit of this book or speaking against it . It is Gods holy Words and it is perfect and every miracle is true and every jot and title is inspired by God
  19. If you want to destroy the faith of Children then start questioning the word of God and whether it is all true
  20. Start picking and choosing what you will obey and not obey and what is important and what can be discarded that is a pattern with these men that went on to reject GOD
  21. Schleiermacher further paved the way for Darwinianism through his pantheistic view of God. He replaced the personal Creator God of Scripture with a vague “first cause.” He wrote, “there is no God without the world, no world without God” (Gregorio, p. 27). “God” could easily be thought of as a god who created through billions of years of evolution.
  22. This is why we are gonna nail the gap theory on a upcoming STBC because it is nothing but compromise with the devil
  23. It could be called sympathy for the devil
  24. Day age theory whatever you want to call it . It paved the way for all the problems and the eventual wide spread acceptance of evolution and billions of years
  25. In spite of this unwholesome intellectual diet, at age 20 Haeckel still held to a semblance of Christian faith. In a “Penitential sermon of a 20-year-old boy to himself,” he
  26. exhorted himself to “hold fast to the most steadfast faith in God,” to have confidence in “his miraculous loving-kindness,” and to “confide in God; he will save you and guide you.”
  27. Sadly, Haeckel did not go to the Bible and to the Christ of the Bible for this faith in God but instead tried to maintain a Christian faith divorced from an infallibly divine Revelation.
  28. Haeckel's life was transformed during his postgraduate studies by reading Darwin's *On the Origin of Species*, which had been published in German in 1860.

29. "As he explained in a letter to his mistress, written in his waning years, he began as a Christian but when he started to practice medicine and penetrate the mysteries of life and its evolution, he became--a er the most desperate spiritual con ict-- a free-thinker and pantheist" (Ian Taylor, *In the Minds of Men*, p. 180).
30. Called "the gad y of Jena," Haeckel was morally loose. He had many mistresses. In 1898, when he was 64 and his rst wife was an invalid, he began a ve-year adulterous a air with a woman 34 years his junior. His paramour beat him to the grave, committing suicide at age 35.
31. Haeckel's daughter, Emma, had to be committed to a mental institution for the nal part of her life.
32. there is always a reason they are God hating devils because they love their sin and wickedness
33. Yes they are in love with the flesh and this world
34. Look at the destruction that follows in their lives , mistress commits suicide - daughter goes insane
35. Yes the way of the transgressor is hard. All they that hate the Lord Love death and they get what they love -God makes sure of it
36. "man himself is but a tiny grain of protoplasm in the perishable framework of organic nature" ( e *Riddle of the Universe*, New York: Harper, 1900, p. 14).
37. What hope could these sick people give anyone . no wonder everyone dies around them and whats left is the way to the grave and hell
38. Hope is in Jesus Christ and they reject all hope
39. 1 Corinthians 15:19 If in this life only we have hope in Christ, we are of all men most miserable.
40. No wonder that Haeckel wrote to his father in 1864, "Personal individual existence appears to me so horribly miserable, petty, and worthless, that I see it as intended for nothing but for destruction" (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 76).
41. Life has no meaning or importance to the evolutionist everything is change and what does it all really matter?
42. They have no hope
43. "For me the value of Darwin is that the human no longer needs to have a supernatural soul, and that one no longer

needs purpose to explain creation” (Richard Weikart, *From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 26).

44. Haeckel became a great blasphemer, calling the Creator God of Scripture “a gaseous Vertebrate.”
45. Romans 1:28 And even as they did not like to retain God in [their] knowledge, God gave them over to a reprobate mind, to do those things which are not convenient;
46. Romans 1:29 Being filled with all unrighteousness, fornication, wickedness, covetousness, maliciousness; full of envy, murder, debate, deceit, malignity; whisperers,
47. Romans 1:30 Backbiters, haters of God, despiteful, proud, boasters, inventors of evil things, disobedient to parents,
48. Haeckel’s god was nature. He was the inventor of the term “ecology,” and he believed in a sort of metaphysical power in nature that he called Monism. He wrote, “We are compelled by reflection to recognize that God is not to be placed against the material world [as in Christianity], but must be placed as a ‘divine power’ or ‘moving spirit’ within the cosmos itself” (Haeckel, *Monism: the Confession of Faith of a Man of Science*, London: Adam and Charles Black, 1895, p. 15). This is pagan panentheism, wherein God is in everything.
49. Haeckel believed that the religion of nature would destroy Christianity and envisioned a time when churches would become places of nature worship. He envisioned the enthroning of Urania or Venus, the Greek goddess of astrology, love and beauty, in the place of Jesus Christ.
50. “The religious service of the Sunday, which will continue as the ancient day of rest, of edification and relaxation that follows the six workdays of the working week, will undergo an essential improvement in the monistic church. The mystical belief in supernatural miracles will be replaced by clear knowledge of the true miracles of nature. The temples of God as places of devotion will not be adorned with images of saints and crucifixes, but with richly artistic representations from the inexhaustible realms of beauty of natural and human life.
51. Between the high columns of the Gothic cathedrals, which have climbing plants winding around them, slender palms and tree-ferns, graceful banana trees and bamboos, will remind us of the creative powers of the tropics. In great aquaria below the church windows, delightful jellyfish and siphonophores,

**brightly colored corals and starfish, will elucidate the art-forms of marine life. In place of the high altar there will be a statue of Urania, which will represent the omnipotence of the law of matter through the movements of the planets” (Haeckel,, quoted from *Hitler and the Germans* by Eric Voegelin and Brenden Purcell, p. 126).**

## **LIKE ANY SATANIC DARWIN DISCIPLE HE WAS A HATEFUL RACIST**

- 1. Haeckel’s Racism Haeckel believed that man evolved from apes and that some “races” are less evolved.**
- 2. He wrote, “New perfect races arise continually and improve themselves in the struggle for existence, while the imperfect races, just as frequently as they drive out the old root-forms, die out and become extinct” (Di Gregorio, p. 90). He said the “lower races of men ... remind us of our animal ancestors, and ... seem to manifest a closer connection with**
- 3. the gorilla and chimpanzee of that region than with a Kant or Goethe” (p. 246). He said the tribes of South Africa “have remained, down to the present day, at the lowest stage of human development, and made the smallest advance beyond the ape” (p. 247).**
- 4. Some of Haeckel’s charts depicted the supposed evolution of modern man from the lower “race” (Negroid) to the higher (Caucasians, and especially, of course, Germans). He strongly believed in racial superiority, considering it a natural product of evolution. Haeckel wrote,**
- 5. “Between the most highly developed animal soul and the least developed human soul there exists only a small quantitative, but no qualitative difference, and that this difference is much less, than the difference between the lowest and the highest human souls, or as the difference between the highest and lowest animal souls” ( *e Natural History of Creation*, 1868).**
- 6. He divided man into two “species” -- the straight-haired and the wooly-haired. He looked upon Australian aborigines as closer to apes or even dogs in their reasoning faculties than to the “higher humans.” Haeckel said that since the “wooly-haired” are “psychologically nearer to the mammals than to civilized Europeans, we must, therefore, assign a totally di**

erent value to their lives” (Robert Li on, *e Nazi Doctor*, p. 125). Haeckel made a drawing of a tree populated by a gorilla, an orangutan, a chimpanzee, and a Negro.

7. In *e Natural History of Creation*, Haeckel featured a series of 12 drawings depicting the alleged evolution of man from ape to Greek. ere are six apes and six men. e highest ape looks much like the lowest man, who is some sort of African black man or an Australian aboriginal. e drawings are heavily modified, in that the apes are given more human features, while the “lower” humans are given more ape-like features.
8. Haeckel held that “the lower species of men” are of little value. “ e value of life of these lower wild peoples is equal to that of the anthropoid apes or stands only slightly above them” (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 109). As Richard Weikart observes, “Haeckel’s devaluing of ‘primitive’ races, by placing them on par with animals, would be the rst stop toward a genocidal mentality” (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 110).
9. Can you believe these lying satanic devils try to say this had nothing to do with the slaughter of the Jews or the racial superiority that had permeated Germany and brought about the rise of Hitler
10. Placing value on different people due to the color of their skin then it was the size of the human - the baby was not developed therefore killing it is ok?
11. Modern evolutionists are quick to distance themselves from the racism that was rampant among early Darwinians, but if evolution is true then racism would also have a scientific basis. Why would all evolved men be equal? Why wouldn’t some be more recently and more highly evolved? It is only the Bible’s doctrine of divine creation, which says men are made in the image of God, that gives men real equality and refutes racism. Creation teaches us that all men and women are children of the same father, Adam, and he was not an ape!

## **HACKLE PREPARES GERMANY FOR HITLER**

1. What you teach matters and people will follow teaching and what you believe dictates your behaviour
2. If a man is a muslim and believes the koran fundamentally then he will do what the Koran says

- 3. IF a man believes evolution then he will do what it teaches and promote it to the fullest**Haeckel argued that as evolution, supposedly, rewards the “ fittest,” man should help evolution along by eliminating the unfit . He wrote:
- 4. “ The cruel and unsparing ‘struggle for existence,’ which rages--and naturally must rage--everywhere in the biosphere, this unceasing and inexorable competition of all living creatures, is an undeniable fact; only the chosen minority of the privileged t ones in the condition to survive successfully this competition, while the great majority of the competitors must necessarily perish miserably” (Richard Weikart, *From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 80).**
- 5. In the *Natural History of Creation*, 1870, Haeckel praised the Spartans, because they practiced euthanasia of imperfect babies in order to create the superior man. Later “he confessed that he had indeed supported infanticide in his earlier book” (Weikart, p. 146). Killing of the unfit was, in his estimation, the logical consequence of Darwinian survival of the fittest.**
- 6. Haeckel promoted abortion, infanticide, and euthanasia for the “inferior” and the inferior. He proposed “a dose of some painless and rapid poison” to do away with the “hundreds of thousands of incurables--lunatics, lepers, people with cancer, etc.” (Weikart, pp. 118, 119). Haeckel proposed that euthanasia program that would be “under the control of an authoritative commission” (p. 119).**
- 7. Hitler took Haeckel’s Darwinian philosophy to the most radical conclusion, euthanizing millions of “inferiors” and forcefully sterilizing millions more.**
- 8. Haeckel gave impetus to the abortion movement by teaching that the embryo is still in the evolutionary stage and not fully human. Even the newborn child, according to Haeckel, “not only possesses no consciousness and no reason, but is also dumb and only gradually develops the activity of the senses and of the mind” (Weikart, p. 147). Haeckel believed that the newborn infant has no soul and therefore “the destruction of abnormal new born infants cannot rationally be classed as murder” (Haeckel, e *Wonders of Life*, 1904, p. 21).**



9. For the mentally handicapped, he recommended “a small dose of morphine or cyanide” to “free this pitiable creature” from itself as well as from being a burden on its caretakers (ibid).
10. we have the right—or if one prefers—the duty, to end the deep suffering of our fellow humans, if strong illness without hope of recovery makes their existence unbearable and if they themselves ask us for ‘redemption from evil’” (*From Darwin to Hitler*, p. 148).
11. “Not only did Haeckel justify infanticide, abortion, and assisted suicide or voluntary euthanasia, but he also supported the involuntary killing of the mentally ill. He condemned the idea that all human life should be preserved, ‘even when it is totally worthless.’ ...
12. He complained that not only are many mentally ill people burdens to society, but so are lepers, cancer patients, and others with incurable illnesses. Why not just spare ourselves much pain and money, he asked, by just giving them a shot of morphine? ... e leading Darwinist in Germany thus gave his scientific imprimatur to murdering the disabled, both in infancy and in adulthood” (Weikart, p. 148).
13. Haeckel wanted to raise the German people to the status of a superior race, purified of the blood of the unfit. His disciple Alexander Tille said that their goal was “the elevation and more excellent formation of the human race,” and this would require a two-fold program: “careful selection of the best” and “merciless elimination of the worst” (Weikart, p. 45).
14. Hitler’s deputy Rudolph Hess said that “National Socialism is nothing but applied biology” (Robert Li on, *e Nazi Doctors*, p. 31).
15. “He [Haeckel] convinced masses of his countrymen they must accept their evolutionary destiny as a ‘master race’ and ‘outcompete’ inferior peoples, since it was right and natural that only the ‘fittest’ should survive. His version of Darwinism was incorporated in Adolf Hitler’s *Main Kampf* (1925), which means ‘My Struggle,’ taken from Haeckel’s German translation of Darwin’s phrase, ‘the struggle for existence.’ ”—  
\*R. Milner, *Encyclopedia of Evolution* (1990), p. 207 [also 312-313].
16. “In 1918, Darwin’s apostle Ernst Haeckel became a member of the *Thule Gesellschaft*, a secret, radically right-wing organization that played a key role in the es- tablishment of the Nazi

movement. Rudolf Hess and Hitler attended the meeting as guests (Phelps, 1963).”— *Ian Taylor, In the Minds of Men (1987), p. 488.*

17. **ERNST HAECKEL**—\*Ernst Haeckel, professor at the University in Jena, was the pioneer promoter of Darwinism on the European continent, just as Thomas Huxley was Darwin’s “bulldog” in England. In chapter 22, *Vestiges and Recapitulation*, and chapter 29, *History of Evolutionary Theory*, we detail \*Haeckel’s fraudulent activities, to promote Darwinism by dishonest methods.
18. Along with \*Nietzsche, \*Haeckel helped lay the foundations for the German militarism which produced World Wars I and II. Whereas \*Lenin and \*Marx were concerned with class struggle for supremacy, \*Haeckel and \*Nietzsche were preoccupied with the “super race” conquest of inferior ones.
19. Haeckel professed a mystical belief in the forces of nature and a literal transfer of the laws of biology to the social realm. The movement he founded in Germany was proto-Nazi
20. in character; romantic Volkism and the *Monist League* (established 1906), along with evolution and science, laid the ideological foundations of [German] National Socialism.
21. “. . . English Darwinism interlinked two main themes, natural selection and the struggle for existence. Social Darwinism is an attempt to explain human society in terms of evolution, but Haeckel’s [proto-Nazi] interpretation was quite different from that of capitalist Herbert Spencer or of communist Marx. For him a major component was the ethic of inherent struggle between higher and lower cultures,—between races of men.”—*\*Michael Pitman, Adam and Evolution (1984), p. 48.*
22. Inspired by the writings of \*Darwin, \*Haeckel became the great forerunner of Nazi violence, which killed millions and littered Europe with its wreckage.
23. “Along with his social Darwinist followers, [Haeckel] set about to demonstrate the ‘aristocratic’ and non-democratic aspect of the laws of nature . . . Up to his death in 1919, Haeckel contributed to that special variety of German thought which served as the seed-bed for National Socialism. He became one of Germany’s main ideologists for racism, nationalism, and imperialism.”—*\*Daniel Gasman, Scientific Origins of National Socialism: Social Darwinism in Ernst Haeckel and the German Monist League (1971), p. xvi.*
24. Darwinism was taken to its logical extreme: Kill the gentle and the unfortunate.

**25. “German Darwinism was shaped by Ernst Haeckel, who combined it with anticlericalism, militaristic patriotism and visions of German racial purity. He encouraged the destruction of the established church in Germany, with its sermons about ‘the meek shall inherit the earth’ and compassion for unfortunates. Such a ‘superstitious’ doctrine would lead to ‘racial suicide.’ ”—\*R. Milner, *Encyclopedia of Evolution* (1990), p. 119.**

**26. Haeckel was a fraud and a liar who built everything on a lie** It is of interest that, in 1997, \*Dr. Michael Richardson, an embryologist at St. George’s Medical School in London, assembled a scientific team that photographed the growing embryos of 39 different species. In a 1997 interview in the London Times, \*Richardson said this about Haeckel:

“This is one of the worst cases of scientific fraud. It’s shocking to find that somebody one thought was a great scientist was deliberately misleading. It makes me angry . . . What he [Haeckel] did was to take a human embryo and copy it, pretending that the salamander and the pig and all the others looked the same at the same stage of development. They don’t . . . These are fakes.”—*\*Michael Richardson, quoted in “An Embryonic Liar,” The London Times, August 11, 1997, p. 14.*

\*“biogenetic law” and fraudulent drawings have been printed in school textbooks down to the present day.

27.

**HAECKEL’S LAW—Even though \*Haeckel called it a “law,” recent scientists have less complementary words for it:**

“[It is] a theory that, in spite of its exposure, its effects continue to linger in the nooks and crannies of zoology.”—\*G.R. De Beer and \*W.E. Swinton, in \*T.S. Wastell (ed.), *Studies in Fossil Vertebrates*.

In recent years, an instrument, called the *fetoscope*, has been developed which, when inserted into the uterus, permits observation and photography of every stage of the human embryo during its development. As a result of research such as this, **it is now known that at every stage fetal development is perfect, uniquely human, and entirely purposive. There are no unnecessary processes or structures.**

“As a law, this principle has been questioned, it has been subjected to careful scrutiny and has been found wanting. There are too many exceptions to it.”—\*A.F. Huettnner, *Fundamentals of Comparative Embryology of the Vertebrates*, p. 48.

**DEVELOPMENTAL DIFFERENCES—\*Haeckel’s so- called “law” teaches that all embryos not only look alike, but that they must all develop in the same way, thus proving their ancestry.**

**But, actual embryological growth of various species reveals many differences in development;** so many that they entirely disprove Haeckel’s “Recapitulation” theory. For example, what would Haeckel do with the crabs? One type hatches out of a larval form (the *zoeas*) which is totally different than the adult form. Yet other crabs hatch out directly as miniature crabs! Many other such oddities could be cited.

Ernst Haeckel wasn’t satisfied to fabricate an influential embryo chart and thus deceive multitudes of people. He also invented a substance called “Monera” or “Urschleim” (primordial slime) to t into his evolutionary “family tree” as the missing link between animate and inanimate matter.

In *e History of Creation* (1868), Haeckel included a series of drawings showing the actual appearance, eating habits, and reproductive cycle of the mythical Monera. He said, “[ ey are] not composed of any organs at all, but consist entirely of shapeless, simple homogeneous matter ... nothing more than a shapeless, mobile, little lump of mucus or slime, consisting of albuminous combinations of carbon,” and, “organisms without organs, which in their perfectly developed condition form a freely moving, naked, perfectly structureless and homogeneous mass of sarcode (Protoplasm).”

Monera, which are supposed to form “spontaneously” on the ocean oor, were thought to give rise to all other organisms “through the course of time through differentiation and natural selection in the struggle for existence” (Gregorio, *From Here to Eternity*, p. 138).

Haeckel even gave the Monera the scientific name *Protamoeba primitivia*.

Understand that Haeckel did not present Monera as mere hypothesis. He presented it as scientific reality. As Russell Grigg observes, “the extent of the detail is the measure of his fraud, as the Monera did not then and do not now exist!” (“Ernst Haeckel: Evangelist for Evolution and Apostle of Deceit,” Creation.com).

It was customary practice at that time for living samples to be preserved for later examination by dropping them into a specimen jar of strong alcohol. This was done in a routine manner to the mud samples on board the *HMS Challenger*, but a chemist on the expedition, who seems to have been more committed to his chemistry than to biology, pointed out that the protoplasmic matter recognized as *B. HAECKELII* WAS NOTHING MORE THAN AN AMORPHOUS PRECIPITATE OF SULPHATE OF LIME (GYPSUM) WHICH FORMS WHEN SEAWATER IS ADDED TO ALCOHOL!

“The date was 1875 and that should have been the end of *B. haeckelii*, then and there, but **it was vitally important that those promoting the theory of evolution, not lose the public confidence by exposure of this asco**

“One may well wonder how such a grand cover-up was possible. It is not difficult to surmise how when something of the conspiratorial nature of nineteenth century British science, with T.H. Huxley as the grand master, is understood. It has been exposed by Irving (1955) and by Bibby (1972). The latter describes how Huxley formed the X-Club in 1864--the members could never agree on a name--and it consisted of nine members who, with one exception, were all presidents and secretaries of learned societies; the one exception was Herbert Spencer... these nine were men at the top of their profession, hand picked for their views, and holding

personal influence on almost every famous scientist in the world, as well as on many distinguished radicals. ... The members met for dinner always immediately before each meeting of the Royal Society, at which time strategy was plotted. By this means, British science was literally ‘governed’, from 1864 until 1884, by Huxley and his disciples, and, with their combined in

influence over the scientific press **it was little wonder that the 1876 report of the demise of Huxley's *B. haeckelii* was never made public**" (Ian Taylor, *In the Mind of Man*, pp. 182-185).

True to character, Haeckel did not apologize publicly for his gross error. In fact, he did not even pull the myth from his book! Haeckel's *History* continued to be widely circulated-- complete with the unrevised account of the mythical Monera--for another half century both in English and German and continued to wield an influence.

We see, then, that the enthusiastic evolutionists Ernst Haeckel and Thomas Huxley had the same amazing powers as Percival Lowell. Whereas Lowell saw canals, Haeckel and Huxley saw Monera.

Ernst Haeckel was the inventor of the evolutionary "family tree," and this was probably his most lasting and influential creation.

Haeckel's "family tree" depicted all of life as a single tree with the mythical "Moneren" at the bottom of the trunk and "Menschen" (people) at the top. (Haeckel was apparently the first to alliterate the evolutionary scale.) Life supposedly progressed from moneren to amoeba to fish to amphibian to reptile to mammals to man. This is the trunk of the tree, and the various types of creatures within the major categories allegedly branched off in various directions as evolution took its blind twists and turns.

Enough modern evolutionists would not agree with Haeckel about the details of the "family tree," they do believe

the evidence we find in the geologic record is not nearly as compatible with Darwinian natural selection as we would like it to be. Darwin was completely aware of this. He was embarrassed by the fossil record because it didn't look the way he predicted it would ... Well, we are now about 120 years after Darwin and the knowledge of the fossil record has been greatly expanded. We now have a quarter of a million fossil species but the situation hasn't changed much of the record of evolution is still surprisingly jerky and, ironically, WE

HAVE EVEN FEWER EXAMPLES OF EVOLUTIONARY TRANSITION THAN WE HAD IN DARWIN'S TIME. By this I mean that some of the classic cases of Darwinian change in the fossil record, such as the evolution of the horse in North America, have had to be discarded or modified as a result of more detailed information" (D. M. Raup, *Field Museum of Natural History Bulletin*, 50:22, January 1979).

Haeckel not only devised Monera and family trees, phony laws and modified embryos, he even devised an entire race of ape-men. Reasoning that the major difference between man and ape is the former's ability to talk, and assuming that

evolution is true and that man evolved from animals, Haeckel concluded that man's predecessor was a dumb ape-man. He even invented a scientific name for this mythical creature: *Pithecanthropus alalus* ("speechless ape-man").

Haeckel had an artist, Gabriel Max, draw the fabled creature, and Max depicted an entire *Pithecanthropus* family. The pot-bellied father, ape-headed but having an atypically hairy human body, stands upright and leans on a thick branch, looking as stupid as stupid can be. The dim-witted-looking mother sits cross-legged nursing a poor little ape-man baby. She has long-straggly hair but is less ape-looking than her "husband" except for her ape-like feet.

In every detail, this drawing represents a fabricated myth that was created in an attempt to discredit the Bible and prove evolution true.

\*\*\*\*\* [WAYOFLIFE.ORG](http://WAYOFLIFE.ORG) WAS A GREAT HELP IN GATHERING INFORMATION ALSO DAVID CLOUD'S BOOK -EVOLUTION HOAXES AND MYTHS

ALSO THE EVOLUTION CRUNCHER